Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/917,072	ESSALIK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kaj K. Olsen	1753
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Kaj K. Olsen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Bracken</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 August 2005	Time: <u>2:30</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.	,	
Rejection(s) discussed:	·	
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		·
The art documents discussed.		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Approval was given for the amendment to page 5 of the spec		WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumi 	The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
•	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the only remaining rejection remaining in this case is the 112 enablement rejection of claims 1-3. However, because claims 1-3 were part of the originally filed disclosure, their text could be incorporated into the specification without introducing new matter. The examiner was given permission to do said incorporation in an examiner's amendment.